| | Case 5:19-cv-02546 Documen | t 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 1 of 27 |
|---|---|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Eric H. Gibbs (SBN 178658) GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP 505 14th Street, Suite 1110 Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 350-9700 (tel.) (510) 350-9701 (fax) ehg@classlawgroup.com Norman E. Siegel (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON LLP 460 Nichols Road, Suite 200 Kansas City, MO 64112 (816) 714-7100 (tel.) (816) 714-7101 (fax.) siegel@stuevesiegel.com [Additional Counsel on Signature Page] | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | ES DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | BRIANNA SINOHUI, MICHELE ARENA, | Case No |
| 16 | JOSEPH BROUGHER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, | |
| 17 | Plaintiffs, | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL |
| 18 | V. | 1. Breach of Contract |
| 19 | INTUIT INC., | 2. Violation of California's Consumer Legal |
| 20 | Defendant. | Remedies Act 3. Violation of California's Unfair |
| 21 | | Competition Law 4. Violation of New York's General Business |
| 22 | | Law |
| 23 | | 5. Violation of Pennsylvania's Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |
| | | TION COMPLAINT D. |
| | | · |

www.classlawgroup.com

Plaintiffs Brianna Sinohui, Michele Arena, and Joseph Brougher, individually and on behalf of the classes defined below, make the following allegations based upon information and belief, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to them, which are based on personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION

1. TurboTax is a tax preparation software, owned and manufactured by Intuit, that is utilized to file more than 35 million tax returns for American taxpayers every year when filing their income tax returns with both the United States Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and individual states. One of the main benefits of using TurboTax is the ability to electronically file tax returns. This not only results in convenience at the time of filing, but refunds for electronic filings are processed more quickly than for hard copy filings.

2. Pursuant to an agreement with the IRS, TurboTax and 11 other tax preparation providers are required to cumulatively offer 70% of U.S. taxpayers the option to file their taxes for free. For the 2018 tax season, any taxpayer whose adjusted gross income is \$66,000 or less is eligible to use tax preparation software from one of these providers to prepare and file their tax returns for free.

3. TurboTax violated its agreement with the IRS by intentionally diverting qualified taxpayers away from its "free filing" program in favor of its paid product offerings. It did this by segregating its "free file" webpage from its primary website and then altering the website's code in order to keep it hidden from search engines like Google so that it would not be easily accessible to qualified taxpayers.

4. TurboTax also marketed its paid offerings as "Free Guaranteed"—so that qualified taxpayers believed they were filing their taxes pursuant to the free-filing program, only to be hit with unexpected charges after they already spent hours entering information and were getting ready to file.

5. As a result of this scheme, TurboTax breached its agreement with the government, took advantage of the U.S. public, and generated millions of dollars of ill-gotten gains from persons who least can afford it.

PARTIES

27 6. Plaintiff Brianna Sinohui is a resident and citizen of Redlands, California who paid to file
28 her 2018 tax returns using TurboTax despite qualifying for the IRS free filing program.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO. _____

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 3 of 27

- 7. Plaintiff Michele Arena is a resident and citizen of Selden, New York who paid to file her 2018 tax returns using TurboTax despite qualifying for the IRS free filing program.
- 8. Plaintiff Joseph Brougher is a resident and citizen of West Mifflin, Pennsylvania who paid to file his 2018 tax returns using TurboTax despite qualifying for the IRS free filing program.

9. Defendant Intuit Inc. ("Intuit" or "TurboTax") is headquartered in Mountain View, California, and incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. Intuit markets, sells and operates TurboTax, a tax preparation and filing software product and service.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 of the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 because: (i) there are 100 or more class members, (ii) there is an aggregate amount in controversy exceeding \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and (iii) there is minimal diversity because at least one plaintiff and one defendant are citizens of different States. This court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

14 11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant resides
in this District, transacts business in this District, and its principal place of business is located in this
District. Likewise, Defendant's terms of service contain a venue provision stating that "you and Intuit
agree to the exclusive jurisdiction of state courts in Santa Clara County, California U.S.A. or federal
court for the Northern District of California."

12. This court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it has conducted substantial business in this District, and intentionally and purposefully placed its tax preparation software into the stream of commerce within the Districts of California and throughout the United States. Additionally, Defendant's corporate headquarters are located within this District in Mountain View, California.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

as a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise this claim occurred in Santa Clara County.

ALLEGATIONS

Assignment is proper to the San Jose division of this District under Local Rule 3-2(c)-(e)

23

19

20

21

22

24

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

13.

- 25
- 26
- 27 28

14. Intuit Inc. is a business and financial software company that develops and sells financial, accounting, and tax preparation software including TurboTax, QuickBooks, and Mint. Its flagship

2 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO. _____

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 4 of 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

24

product, TurboTax, is a leading tax preparation software that provides step-by-step guidance for filling out state and federal tax returns and permits users to electronically file their tax returns using a computer or other mobile device. Intuit had revenues of more than \$6.2 billion for the 12-month period ending on January 31, 2019.

15. In October 2002, a consortium of tax preparation providers led by TurboTax known as the "Free File Alliance" entered into a three-year agreement with the IRS to offer free online tax preparation and filing services to taxpayers "least able to afford the electronic filing of their tax returns."

16. That agreement was entered into for the express benefit of low-income taxpayers. It has been extended multiple times through the years, most recently in October 2018. It is entitled the "Eighth Memorandum of Understanding on Service Standards and Disputes between the Internal Revenue Service and Free File, Incorporated" and extends the parties' agreement through October 31, 2021 (the "IRS Free-Filing Agreement").¹

17. In exchange for a commitment to provide free filing options "to economically 14 disadvantaged and underserved populations"-the federal government "pledged to not enter the tax preparation software and e-filing services marketplace." This commitment from the government protects 15 the viability of the commercial tax preparers' business model by ensuring they can always charge a 16 17 significant portion of the U.S. population fees for their services.

18 18. In fact, TurboTax and its competitors have spent millions of dollars lobbying against the 19 IRS creating its own free tax filing system, as well as pushing Congress to codify the IRS Free-Filing 20 Agreement to ensure that a free government-sponsored program cannot ever threaten the industry's profits.

19. The IRS Free-Filing Agreement provides that members of the Free File Alliance² must cumulatively offer 70% of U.S. taxpayers - or approximately 100 million people - the option to file

25 ¹ IRS Free-Filing Agreement, <u>https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/Eight%20Free%20File%20MOU.pdf</u> (last 26 accessed May 11, 2019).

² The tax preparation companies currently participating in the Free File Alliance and bound by the IRS 27 Free-Filing Agreement include: TurboTax, H&R Block, TaxAct, eSmart, 1040NOW.net,

FileYourTax.com, FreeTaxReturns.com, FreeTaxUSA, OLT.com, TaxSlaver, exTaxReturn.com, and 28 1040.com.

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 5 of 27

their taxes for free. For the 2018 tax season, any taxpayer whose adjusted gross income is \$66,000 or less is eligible to use tax preparation software from one of these providers to prepare and file tax forms for free, although each provider sets its own eligibility requirements.

20. Because TurboTax is the market leader and has more volume than its competitors, its eligibility requirements are more stringent. Accordingly, TurboTax permits free state and federal filings for any U.S. taxpayer who (a) has an adjusted gross income under \$34,000; (b) is eligible for the Earned Income Tax Credit; or (c) is an active military member with an adjusted gross income of \$66,000 or less.³

21. But while 70% of U.S. taxpayers are eligible to file for free, *less than 2.5% of eligible taxpayers actually utilize the program*. The reason for this stark discrepancy is due in no small part to an array of deceptive practices employed by TurboTax (and its competitors) to prevent lower-income taxpayers from utilizing the program in favor of its paid product offerings.

22. For example, TurboTax's actual free product is referred to as TurboTax "Freedom 13 14 Edition" - which enables users eligible to complete and e-file their federal tax returns for free in accordance with the IRS Free File program, no matter how many state or federal forms are required to 15 16 file. Yet – and as but one example of TurboTax's deceptive practices – TurboTax also offers a different "free" online tax product bearing a similar name: TurboTax "Free Edition." Despite being heavily 17 18 marketed, the TurboTax Free Edition is not associated with the IRS Free File program and is a basic 19 software offering that supports only the simplest of tax returns, wherein most users (who would 20 otherwise be eligible for free filing under the IRS Free-Filing Agreement) are forced to pay to file their 21 returns.

23. On April 22, 2019, *ProPublica*, a non-profit organization focused on investigative journalism, released its first in a series of articles about the effect of TurboTax's deceptive business practices entitled "*Here's How TurboTax Just Tricked You Into Paying to File Your Taxes*."⁴

25 26

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

4

^{27 &}lt;sup>3</sup> *IRS Free File Software Offers*, <u>https://apps.irs.gov/app/freeFile/</u> (last accessed May 11, 2019). ⁴ Justin Elliott and Lucas Waldron, *Here's How TurboTax Just Tricked You Into Paying to File Your*

²⁸ *Taxes*, PROPUBLICA (April 22, 2019), <u>https://www.propublica.org/article/turbotax-just-tricked-you-into-paying-to-file-your-taxes</u> (last accessed May 11, 2019).

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 6 of 27

1

2

24. The authors first searched "irs free file taxes" on Google and the first four hits directed them to websites operated by members of the Free File Alliance.



Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 7 of 27

26. The authors then clicked on the link and began the process of filing returns for U.S. taxpayers who made under \$34,000 and would have otherwise qualified for the IRS free filing program and satisfied TurboTax's eligibility requirements.⁵

27. For example, the authors created a profile for a house cleaner who made \$29,000. After entering information and answering more than a dozen questions about her finances, the house cleaner was informed that he would have to pay \$119.99 to file because his income was derived as an independent contractor.⁶

| | | ately report I'll need to u | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | |
| BENEFITS | | Free Edition | Deluxe | Self-Employed |
| Report W-2 income | | 0 | • | • |
| Report multiple source includes 1099–MISC, 10 | | | • | • |
| One-on-one help-ge answers to your product questions from a Turbo | and support | | ٩ | ۵ |
| Maximize deductions- self-employed expense phone, supplies, and m | such as vehicle, | | | • |
| | | Keep Free \$0 | Upgrade \$59.99 State additional | Upgrade \$119.99 State additional Pays for Itself |
| 28. The author | rs then attempte | ed a second scen | nario whereby t | hey returned to T |
| d clicked on "Free G | uaranteed"—ag | ain going throu | gh the process | as a pharmacy ca |
| alth insurance. After e | ntering sensitive | e personal inform | mation, the cash | ier was informed |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 8 of 27

have to pay \$59.99 to file his taxes because there is an extra form if the taxpayer does not have health insurance.

To accurately complete your taxes, you need to upgrade to **TurboTax Deluxe**

Why do I need to upgrade?

You have Schedule 4, Other Taxes, and that's just one of the reasons the IRS requires you to file Form 1040.

TurboTax Free Edition does not cover this situation

29. As recognized by the authors, the IRS Free-Filing Agreement does not parse qualifying participants based on what forms they have to submit, meaning these taxpayers should not have been charged anything to complete the process.

30. In further examining this issue, the authors noted: "So how did we end up with a product that would make us pay? We took a close look at the source code of the TurboTax website and noticed something strange. Even though we clicked on the 'FREE Guaranteed' option and met all the requirements to file for free, the company had tagged us as a potential paying customer. In the source code, TurboTax had branded us as 'NONFFA.' That stands for 'Non Free File Alliance.' In other words, we were not on track to file for free after all. Here's what it looks like behind the curtain"⁷:

| | ch: /personal-taxes/online/ | |
|------------------------|--|--|
| | cc: USD | |
| | c2: 2019-04-12T17:44:20.316Z | |
| | c5: NONFFA | |
| | c6: TT.com | |
| | c7: TTCamp | |
| | c9: 582c1659-f678-4316-a059-29ee9324cf4c | |
| | c14: performance domComplete | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ⁷ Id. | | |
| | 7 | |
| CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | |
| | CASE NO | |
| | | |
| | ⁷ Id. | |

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 9 of 27

31. Consequently, even though TurboTax knew these taxpayers qualified for free filing, they were never on track to file for free. The reason for this is that TurboTax's actual free filing version (the "Freedom Edition") *is not even available* through its primary website – a fact TurboTax itself admits (highlighting added):

| 4 | (highlighting added): |
|----|---|
| 5 | TurboTax FAQ Last modified 3 months ago |
| 6 | 🖒 830 people found this useful |
| 7 | What is the TurboTax Free File program? |
| 8 | |
| 9 | We're proud to offer the TurboTax Free File program to hard-working Americans and their |
| 10 | families who meet the 2018 IRS eligibility requirements. The TurboTax Free File program is exclusively available online and has its own dedicated |
| 11 | website at taxfreedom.com. It is not accessible from the "regular" TurboTax.com website. To qualify for free 2018 federal and state tax returns through the TurboTax Free File program, |
| 12 | you just need to meet one of these requirements: |
| 13 | Your 2018 household AGI is \$34,000 or less; You qualify for the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC); or In 2018, you served as active duty military (including Reservists and National Guard) with a |
| 14 | maximum AGI of \$66,000, and you have a military-issued W-2. |
| 15 | 32. Indeed, on TurboTax's main website, under its "Products & Pricing Page," only "Free |
| 16 | Edition," "Deluxe," "Premier," and "Self-Employed" products are listed, ⁸ but "Freedom Edition" is |
| 17 | nowhere to be found. |
| 18 | 33. Following publication of the initial article, <i>ProPublica</i> published a follow-up article |
| 19 | entitled "Here Are Your Stories of Being Tricked Into Paying by TurboTax. You Often Need the Money" |
| 20 | describing the stories of dozens of individuals who could not file their taxes for free via TurboTax even |
| 21 | though they were eligible to do so. ⁹ |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | ⁸ Intuit TurboTax Products and Pricing, <u>https://turbotax.intuit.com/personal-taxes/online/</u> (last accessed |
| 25 | May 11, 2019). ⁹ Ariana Tobin, Justin Elliott and Meg Marco, <i>Here Are Your Stories of Being Tricked Into Paying by</i> |
| 26 | TurboTax. You Often Need the Money, PROPUBLICA (April 26, 2019), |
| 27 | https://www.propublica.org/article/here-are-your-stories-of-being-tricked-into-paying-by-turbotax-you- often-need-the-money (last accessed May 11, 2019). |
| 28 | |
| | |
| | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO |
| | |
| | |

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 10 of 27

34. For example, one taxpayer was unemployed and recovering from chemotherapy, while her husband was diagnosed with Parkinson's disease and only works part time. She was charged almost \$200 by TurboTax while earning less than \$33,000—money that could have helped pay the rent. Numerous others had similar stories.¹⁰

35. On April 26, 2019, *ProPublica* published an additional article entitled "*TurboTax Deliberately Hid Its Free File Page From Search Engines*" that walked through how TurboTax deliberately hid its truly free "Freedom Edition" product from search engine results.¹¹ Specifically, Intuit altered the code on its website to tell search engines like Google not to list its free filing webpage.

9 36. From at least January through April 27, 2019, TurboTax's Free File site included in its
10 coding the phrase "noindex,nofollow" which instructed the website not to show up in search engine
11 results.

11 - uccerms.auurence CONFERIT- GTODAT 12 me="msapplication-config" content="https: 13 ne="description" content="Use TurboTax Fi ne="slurp" content="noydir" />
ne="robots" content="noindex, nofollow, noc 14 L="canonical" href="https://turbotax.intu 15 16 37. By contrast, the website with TurboTax's paid offerings, TurboTax.com, included the 17 coding "index,follow" – ensuring it *would* be picked up by search engines like Google. 18 19 name="author" content="TurboTax - Taxes, name="description" content="TurboTax® is 20 name="keywords" content="turbotax, turbo 21 name="robots" content="index,follow,nood; name="slurp" content="noydir" /> 22 property="fb:page id" content="7511533723 23 24 25 ¹⁰ *See id.* ¹¹ Justin Elliott, *TurboTax Deliberately Hid Its Free File Page From Search Engines*, PROPUBLICA 26 (April 26, 2019), https://www.propublica.org/article/turbotax-deliberately-hides-its-free-file-page-from-27 search-engines (last accessed May 11, 2019).

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 11 of 27

38. The *ProPublica* authors also discovered that TurboTax's primary competitor, H&R Block, engaged in similar tactics to hide its free filing website from search engines,¹² and published internal guidance from H&R Block explicitly instructing its customer service staff to direct customers to its paid offerings, regardless of whether they qualify for the free filing program. "Do not send clients to this Web Site unless they are specifically calling about the Free File program," the guidance states, referring to the website with H&R Block's free option. "We want to send users to our paid products before the free product, if at all possible."¹³

39. As a result of these deceptive tactics by industry participants, only a tiny fraction of eligible taxpayers have taken advantage of the IRS Free File program. While more than 100 million taxpayers were eligible to file for free through the Free File program in fiscal year 2018, fewer than 2.5 million—less than 2.5% of eligible taxpayers—actually did so. Given this abysmal participation rate, the national taxpayer advocate recently said that the IRS free filing program "is failing to achieve its objectives and should be substantially improved or eliminated."¹⁴

40. Equally troubling, TurboTax has refused to take responsibility for its actions. On May 9, 2019, *ProPublica* published another follow-up article entitled "*Listen to TurboTax Lie to Get Out of Refunding Overcharged Customers*" tracking how 16 qualified free-file taxpayers sought refunds from TurboTax but were told by TurboTax representatives that the truly free version – Freedom Edition – is a government product that is not run by TurboTax.¹⁵ Ten other people reported being told by TurboTax representatives that *ProPublica's* stories were inaccurate, or that its coverage is "fake news" or "fictitious."

23 1^{12} Id.

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

¹³ Justin Elliott and Paul Kiel, *TurboTax and H&R Block Saw Free Tax Filing as a Threat — and Gutted It*, PROPUBLICA (May 2, 2019), <u>https://www.propublica.org/article/intuit-turbotax-h-r-block-gutted-free-tax-filing-internal-memo</u> (last accessed May 11, 2019).

¹⁴ Justin Elliott and Lucas Waldron, *Here's How TurboTax Just Tricked You Into Paying to File Your Taxes*, PROPUBLICA (April 22, 2019), <u>https://www.propublica.org/article/turbotax-just-tricked-you-into-paying-to-file-your-taxes</u> (last accessed May 11, 2019).

27 Daying-to-me-your-taxes (last accessed May 11, 2019).
 ¹⁵ Justin Elliott and Meg Marco, *Listen to TurboTax Lie to Get Out of Refunding Overcharged* 28 *Customers*, PROPUBLICA (May 9, 2019), <u>https://www.propublica.org/article/listen-to-turbotax-lie-to-get-out-of-refunding-overcharged-customers (last accessed May 11, 2019).
</u>

10 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO. _____

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 12 of 27

| 1 | 41. TurboTax's representations are completely inconsistent with its obligations under the IRS | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| 2 | Free-Filing Agreement. Section 2 of the Agreement states that "the IRS and FFI (previously Free File | | | | |
| 3 | Alliance or Alliance) agree that to serve the greater good and ensure the long-term stability of FFI, the | | | | |
| 4 | scope of this program is focused on covering the taxpayers least able to afford e-filing their returns on | | | | |
| 5 | their own." It further provides that "the federal government has pledged to not enter the tax preparation | | | | |
| 6 | software and e-filing services marketplace" in consideration for the FFI's agreement to "cover[] the | | | | |
| 7 | taxpayers least able to afford e-filing their returns on their own." | | | | |
| 8 | 42. Pursuant to the IRS Free-Filing Agreement, TurboTax had numerous obligations in | | | | |
| 9 | furtherance of its duties, a selection of which include: | | | | |
| 10 | § 2. [Free File] Members shall work in concert with the IRS to increase electronic filing | | | | |
| 11 | of tax returns, which includes extending the benefits of online federal tax preparation and | | | | |
| 12 | electronic filing to economically disadvantaged and underserved populations at no cost to either the individual user or to the public treasury. Further, the IRS and FFI (previously | | | | |
| 13 | Free File Alliance or Alliance) agree that to serve the greater good and ensure the long- | | | | |
| 14 | term stability of FFI, the scope of this program is focused on covering the taxpayers least able to afford e-filing their returns on their own. In recognition of this commitment, the | | | | |
| 15 | federal government has pledged to not enter the tax preparation software and e-filing services marketplace. Members shall also: | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | § 2.1. Make tax return preparation easier and reduce the burden on individual taxpayers, particularly the economically disadvantaged and underserved populations[.] | | | | |
| 18 | [] | | | | |
| 19 | § 2.3. Provide greater service and access to the Services to taxpayers[.] | | | | |
| 20 | § 4.15.14. [Free File] Members must clearly list their free customer service options. This | | | | |
| 21 | disclosure must be available on the Member's Free File Landing Page (or such page must | | | | |
| 22 | have a clear and prominent link to such disclosures directly from this page). [Free File] Members must provide taxpayers a free electronic method to obtain a copy and learn the | | | | |
| 23 | status of their electronically filed tax return. | | | | |
| 24 | § 4.19.2. Ineligibility Notification. Free File Member programs must unequivocally | | | | |
| 25 | inform taxpayers who are ineligible for the free offer at the earliest feasible point: | | | | |
| 26 | (i) That they are ineligible for the Free File offer, and | | | | |
| 27 | (ii) The reason that they are not eligible for the offer, and | | | | |
| 28 | (, | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 11 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 13 of 27

- (iii) The taxpayer shall be directed back to the IRS Free File Landing Page as the first and most prominent alternative action so that they may immediately consider other Free File offers available from the Free File Program, and
- (iv) The disqualification practice of each Member must adhere to the standard messaging, language and formatting guidance to be provided by FFI in consultation with the IRS.
- (v) The taxpayer next may be offered a free alternative for completion of their return, provided that the taxpayer is covered by the Program limit of being among the lowest 70 percent of taxpayers.
- (vi) The taxpayer would next be offered the option to continue on the Free File Member's site and pay a fee - which is fully disclosed - to file their federal and/or state return.

43. TurboTax breached these provisions and others by engaging in the deceptive and misleading practices described herein. Indeed, TurboTax has gone to great lengths to protect the viability of its business by eliminating the threat of a free government-sponsored program that would drastically threaten the industry's profits, while at the same time actively disclaiming its obligations under the IRS Free-Filing Agreement in order to maximize its own profits at the expense of the country's most vulnerable citizens.

FACTS AS TO PLAINTIFFS

44. Plaintiff Sinohui is a mother who in 2018 had an adjusted gross income of less than \$34,000. She therefore qualified for the IRS free file program and is an intended beneficiary of the IRS Free-Filing Agreement. After preparing her taxes using a purportedly free version of TurboTax, the website did not allow Plaintiff Sinohui to file for free but required that she pay \$179 in order to file her taxes after entering a significant amount of sensitive personal information.

45. Plaintiff Arena is a retiree with a 2018 adjusted gross income of less than \$22,000. She
therefore qualified for the IRS free file program and is an intended beneficiary of the IRS Free-Filing
Agreement. After preparing her taxes using a purportedly free version of TurboTax, the website did not
allow Plaintiff Arena to file for free but required her to pay \$86.88 in order to file her taxes after entering
a significant amount of sensitive personal information.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 14 of 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

46. Plaintiff Brougher is a college student with a 2018 adjusted gross income of less than \$6,000. He therefore qualified for the IRS free file program and is an intended beneficiary of the IRS Free-Filing Agreement. After preparing his taxes using a purportedly free version of TurboTax, the website did not allow Plaintiff Brougher to file for free but required that he pay \$85.58 in order to file her taxes after entering a significant amount of sensitive personal information.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

47. 7 Description of the Class: Plaintiffs bring this class action on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated individuals. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), (b)(3) and 8 9 (c)(4), as applicable, Plaintiffs seek certification of the following classes of individuals: 10 All residents of the United States who qualified to file their taxes for free pursuant to the IRS Free-Filing Program for the 2018 tax season and 11 satisfied TurboTax's eligibility requirements but nevertheless were 12 charged by TurboTax a sum of money to file their tax returns (the "Nationwide Class"). 13 All residents of New York who qualified to file their taxes for free pursuant 14 to the IRS Free-Filing Program for the 2018 tax season and satisfied 15 TurboTax's eligibility requirements but nevertheless were charged by TurboTax a sum of money to file their tax returns (the "New York 16 Subclass"). 17 All residents of Pennsylvania who qualified to file their taxes for free 18 pursuant to the IRS Free-Filing Program for the 2018 tax season and satisfied TurboTax's eligibility requirements but nevertheless were 19 charged by TurboTax a sum of money to file their tax returns (the "Pennsylvania Subclass"). 20 21 48. Excluded from the classes are Defendant's officers, directors, affiliates, legal 22 representatives, employees, successors, subsidiaries, and assigns. Also excluded from the classes are any 23 judge, justice or judicial officer presiding over this matter and the members of their immediate families 24 and judicial staff. 25 49. Numerosity: The proposed classes are so numerous that individual joinder of all members 26 is impracticable. 27 28 13 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO.

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 15 of 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

b.

50. <u>Common Questions of Law and Fact Predominate</u>: There are many questions of law and fact common to Plaintiffs and members of the classes, and those questions substantially predominate over any questions that may affect individual class members. Common questions of law and fact include:

a. Whether Plaintiffs are third party beneficiaries to the IRS Free-Filing Agreement;

Whether TurboTax breached its obligations under the IRS Free-Filing Agreement;

 Whether Plaintiffs and members of the classes suffered injury, including ascertainable losses, as a result of TurboTax's breach of the IRS Free-Filing Agreement;

- d. Whether TurboTax's conduct constituted unfair and deceptive trade practices actionable under applicable consumer protection laws;
 - e. Whether Plaintiffs and members of the classes are entitled to recover actual damages and/or statutory damages; and
 - f. Whether Plaintiffs and members of the classes are entitled to equitable relief, including injunctive relief and restitution.

51. All members of the proposed classes are ascertainable by objective criteria. TurboTax has access to addresses and other contact information for members of the classes, which can be used for providing notice to many class members.

52. <u>Typicality</u>: Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed classes. Plaintiffs and all members of the classes have been similarly affected by the actions of Defendant.

53. <u>Adequacy of Representation</u>: Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of members of the classes. Plaintiffs have retained counsel with substantial experience in prosecuting complex and class action litigation. Plaintiffs and counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of class members, and have the financial resources to do so.

54. <u>Superiority of Class Action</u>: Plaintiffs and the members of the classes suffered, and will
continue to suffer, harm as a result of Defendant's conduct. A class action is superior to other available
methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the present controversy. Individual joinder of all
members of the classes is impractical. Even if individual class members had the resources to pursue

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 16 of 27

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

individual litigation, it would be unduly burdensome to the courts in which the individual litigation would proceed. Individual litigation magnifies the delay and expense to all parties in the court system of resolving the controversies engendered by Defendant's common course of conduct. The class action device allows a single court to provide the benefits of unitary adjudication, judicial economy, and the fair and equitable handling of all class members' claims in a single forum. The conduct of this action as a class action conserves the resources of the parties and of the judicial system, and protects the rights of the class members.

CHOICE OF LAW ALLEGATIONS

55. Under either a contractual choice-of-law or governmental interests analysis, California law applies to the claims of the Nationwide Class.

56. Defendant's terms of service include a contractual choice-of-law provision stating that: "California state law governs this Agreement without regard to its conflicts of law provisions." Although such terms of service also include an arbitration provision and class action waiver provision, TurboTax's enforcement of such provisions is against public policy as it would prohibit thousands of U.S. taxpayers from seeking relief they are entitled to as third-party beneficiaries of the IRS Free Filing Agreement.

57. Additionally, the State of California has sufficient contacts to the conduct alleged herein that California law may be uniformly applied to the claims of the proposed Nationwide Class.

58. Defendant does substantial business in California; its headquarters is located in California; and a significant portion of the proposed Nationwide Class is located in California.

59. In addition, the conduct that forms the basis for each and every Class Member's claims against Defendant emanated from Defendant's U.S. headquarters in Mountain View, California, where—on information and belief—Defendant received customer complaints, planned its communications with Class Members, and set its compliance policies and practices.

60. The State of California also has the greatest interest in applying its law to Class Members' claims. Its governmental interests include not only an interest in compensating resident consumers under its consumer protection laws, but also what the State has characterized as a "compelling" interest in using its laws to regulate a resident corporation and preserve a business climate free of fraud and deceptive practices. *Diamond Multimedia Sys. v. Sup. Ct.*, 19 Cal. 4th 1036, 1064 (1999).

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 17 of 27

| 1 | 61. If other states' laws were applied to class members' claims, California's interest | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | discouraging resident corporations from engaging in the sort of unfair and deceptive practices alleged in | | |
| 3 | this complaint would be significantly impaired. California could not effectively regulate a company like | | |
| 4 | Defendant, which does business throughout the United States, if it can only ensure that consumers from | | |
| 5 | one of the fifty states affected by conduct that runs afoul of its laws are compensated. | | |
| 6 | <u>COUNT I</u> | | |
| 7 | Breach of Contract | | |
| 8 | (On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class) | | |
| 9 | 62. Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein. | | |
| 10 | 63. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Nationwide Class. | | |
| 11 | 64. The IRS Free-Filing Agreement is an agreement between the IRS and the FFI, of whic | | |
| 12 | TurboTax is a member. | | |
| 13 | 65. The IRS Free-Filing Agreement was entered into for the express benefit of low-incom | | |
| 14 | taxpayers. | | |
| 15 | 66. Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Class are third-party beneficiaries to the IR | | |
| 16 | Free-Filing Agreement. | | |
| 17 | 67. TurboTax breached its agreement with the IRS and its duties and obligations to Plaintif | | |
| 18 | and members of the Nationwide Class as third-party beneficiaries by: | | |
| 19 | a. Failing to comply with Section 2.1, which requires TurboTax to "[m]ake tax retur | | |
| 20 | preparation easier and reduce the burden on individual taxpayers, particularly the | | |
| 21 | economically disadvantaged and underserved populations;" | | |
| 22 | b. Failing to comply with Section 2.3, which requires TurboTax to "[p]rovide greate | | |
| 23 | service and access to the Services to taxpayers," with "Services" being defined i | | |
| 24 | Section 1.13 as "free, online tax return preparation and Filing of Federal individua | | |
| 25 | income tax returns;" | | |
| 26 | c. Failing to comply with Section 4.15.14 of the Agreement, which require | | |
| 27 | TurboTax to "clearly list [its] free customer service options;" and | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | 16 | | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO | | |
| | | | |

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 18 of 27

| 1 | d. Failing to comply with Section 4.19.2 of the Agreement, which requires TurboTax |
|----|---|
| 2 | to "unequivocally inform taxpayers who are ineligible for the free offer at the |
| 3 | earliest feasible point" and that the "taxpayer shall be directed back to the IRS Free |
| 4 | File Landing Page as the first and most prominent alternative action so that they |
| 5 | may immediately consider other Free File offers available from the Free File |
| 6 | Program." |
| 7 | 68. Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Class have been damaged by TurboTax's breach |
| 8 | of its contractual obligations because they qualified for free filing under the IRS Free-Filing Agreement |
| 9 | but were required by TurboTax to pay to file their returns. |
| 10 | 69. Plaintiffs individually and on behalf of the Nationwide Class seek recovery for damages, |
| 11 | equitable relief, and injunctive relief requiring TurboTax to comply with its contractual obligations. |
| 12 | <u>COUNT II</u> |
| 13 | California Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, <i>et seq.</i> |
| 14 | (On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class) |
| 15 | 70. Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein. |
| 16 | 71. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Nationwide Class. |
| 17 | 72. The Consumers Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq. ("CLRA") is a |
| 18 | comprehensive statutory scheme that is to be liberally construed to protect consumers against unfair and |
| 19 | deceptive business practices in connection with the conduct of businesses providing goods, property or |
| 20 | services to consumers primarily for personal, family, or household use. |
| 21 | 73. Defendant is a "person" as defined by Civil Code §§ 1761(c) and 1770, and has provided |
| 22 | "services" as defined by Civil Code §§ 1761(b) and 1770. |
| 23 | 74. Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Class are "consumers" as defined by Civil Code |
| 24 | §§ 1761(d) and 1770, and have engaged in a "transaction" as defined by Civil Code §§ 1761(e) and |
| 25 | 1770. |
| 26 | 75. Defendant's acts and practices were intended to and did result in the sales of products and |
| 27 | services to Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Class in violation of Civil Code § 1770, including: |
| 28 | a. Representing that goods or services have characteristics that they do not have; |
| | 17 |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| | CASE NO |
| | |

| 1 | b. Representing that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade |
|----|--|
| 2 | when they are not; and |
| 3 | c. Advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised. |
| 4 | 76. Defendant's representations and omissions were material because they were likely to |
| 5 | deceive reasonable consumers who were eligible for Free File into using and paying for TurboTax's |
| 6 | paid products. |
| 7 | 77. Had Defendant not misled Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Class, they would |
| 8 | not have paid to use TurboTax products. |
| 9 | 78. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violations of California Civil Code § 1770, |
| 10 | Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Class have suffered injury and ascertainable losses of money |
| 11 | or property through payment for TurboTax's products when, in fact, they were eligible for Free File. |
| 12 | 79. Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide Class seek an order enjoining the acts and |
| 13 | practices described above and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the CLRA. |
| 14 | <u>COUNT III</u> |
| 15 | California Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, <i>et seq</i> . |
| 16 | (On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the Nationwide Class) |
| 17 | 80. Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein. |
| 18 | 81. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Nationwide Class. |
| 19 | 82. Defendant is a "person" as defined by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17201. |
| 20 | 83. Defendant violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq. ("UCL") by engaging in |
| 21 | unfair and deceptive business acts and practices. |
| 22 | 84. Through the actions alleged herein, Defendant has engaged, and continues to engage, in |
| 23 | unfair, fraudulent, and deceptive business practices in violation of the UCL. |
| 24 | 85. Defendant has engaged in unfair business acts and practices by taking actions to reduce |
| 25 | public awareness of and access to TurboTax "Freedom Edition." As alleged, the gravity of harm to |
| 26 | Plaintiff and the proposed Nationwide Class from Defendant's acts and practices far outweighs any |
| 27 | legitimate utility of that conduct; Defendant's conduct is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, |
| 28 | or substantially injurious to Plaintiff and the members of the proposed Nationwide Class; and |
| | 18 |
| | |

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 20 of 27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant's conduct undermines or violates the stated policies underlying the Consumers Legal Remedies Act—to protect consumers against unfair and sharp business practices and to promote a basic level of honesty and reliability in the marketplace.

86. Defendant has also engaged in fraudulent and deceptive acts and practices by making misrepresentations likely to deceive reasonable consumers, including employing deceptive and manipulative marketing and product design schemes. These actions violate the terms and spirit of the IRS Free-Filing Agreement and undermine the public policy goals of the free file program, to the detriment of low-income taxpayers, the third-party beneficiaries to the Agreement.

9 87. Defendant's unfair, fraudulent, and deceptive business acts and practices include, but are
10 not limited to:

Adding code to its TurboTax Free File Website that prevents it from appearing in online search results, rendering the site non-discoverable by consumers searching on Google or other search engines;

- b. Upon information and belief, associating its Google Search Ads for its paid products with keywords likely to be used by consumers searching for the IRS Free File program;
- Not providing an option for users to navigate directly from the TurboTax Main
 Website to the TurboTax Free File Website;
- d. Deliberately choosing not to inform TurboTax customers of Intuit's Free File
 product, TurboTax "Freedom Edition," even after customers share information
 with Intuit indicating their eligibility for it;

e. Intentionally obscuring and failing to disclose the differences between TurboTax "Free Edition" and Intuit's Free File product, TurboTax "Freedom Edition," knowing that reasonable consumers are likely to confuse these two products with nearly identical names;

f. Misrepresenting to consumers that TurboTax "Free Edition," "Deluxe,"
"Premiere," and "Self-Employed" are the only TurboTax online products, when in fact TurboTax "Freedom Edition" is a fifth product offering;

19 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO. _____

| 1 | g. | Misrepresenting to Free File-eligible consumers that a particular paid product is |
|----|----------------------|--|
| 2 | | the best product for them; |
| 3 | h. | Misrepresenting to free file-eligible consumers who enter tax information |
| 4 | | unsupported by TurboTax "Free Edition" that they will need to upgrade to |
| 5 | | complete and file their return; |
| 6 | i. | Advertising "FREE Guaranteed" tax filing services when in fact only a small |
| 7 | | percentage of consumers are able to complete their tax returns for free on the |
| 8 | | TurboTax primary website; |
| 9 | j. | Heavily marketing TurboTax "Free Edition" in a manner that makes it likely to be |
| 10 | | confused with TurboTax's "Freedom Edition;" and |
| 11 | k. | Requiring consumers to invest substantial time and effort inputting their tax return |
| 12 | | information through the TurboTax "Free Edition" software before alerting them |
| 13 | | that they cannot complete their returns using "Free Edition," and then manipulating |
| 14 | | them into paying for various product upgrades. |
| 15 | 88. Defen | dant's representations and omissions were material because they were likely to |
| 16 | deceive reasonable | consumers who were eligible for Free File into using and paying for TurboTax's |
| 17 | paid products. | |
| 18 | 89. As a c | lirect and proximate result of Defendant's unfair and fraudulent acts and practices, |
| 19 | Plaintiffs and memb | ers of the Nationwide Class were injured and lost money or property, including the |
| 20 | amounts paid to Tur | boTax or deducted from their returns as part of the filing process. |
| 21 | 90. Defen | dant acted intentionally, knowingly, and maliciously to violate California's Unfair |
| 22 | Competition Law, a | nd recklessly disregarded the rights of Plaintiffs and members of the Nationwide |
| 23 | Class. | |
| 24 | 91. Plaint | iffs and members of the Nationwide Class seek all monetary and non-monetary relief |
| 25 | allowed by law, incl | uding restitution of all profits stemming from Intuit's unfair and fraudulent business |
| 26 | practices; declarato | ry relief; reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under California Code of Civil |
| 27 | Procedure § 1021.5; | injunctive relief; and other appropriate equitable relief. |
| 28 | | |
| | | 20 |

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 22 of 27

| 1 | | COUNT IV | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | New York General Business Law, N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law §§ 349, <i>et seq.</i> | | |
| 3 | (On Behalf of Plaintiff Arena and the New York Subclass) | | |
| 4 | 92. Pla | intiff Arena, individually and on behalf of the New York Subclass, incorporates the | |
| 5 | foregoing paragra | phs as if set forth fully herein. | |
| 6 | 93. Det | fendant engaged in deceptive acts or practices in the conduct of its business, trade, and | |
| 7 | commerce or furr | hishing of services, in violation of N.Y. Gen. Bus. Law § 349, including: | |
| 8 | a. | Adding code to its TurboTax Free File Website that prevents it from appearing in | |
| 9 | | online search results, rendering the site non-discoverable by consumers searching | |
| 10 | | on Google or other search engines; | |
| 11 | b. | Upon information and belief, associating its Google Search Ads for its paid | |
| 12 | | products with keywords likely to be used by consumers searching for the IRS Free | |
| 13 | | File program; | |
| 14 | с. | Not providing an option for users to navigate directly from the TurboTax Main | |
| 15 | | Website to the TurboTax Free File Website; | |
| 16 | d. | Deliberately choosing not to inform TurboTax customers of Intuit's Free File | |
| 17 | | product, TurboTax "Freedom Edition," even after customers share information | |
| 18 | | with Intuit indicating their eligibility for it; | |
| 19 | e. | Intentionally obscuring and failing to disclose the differences between TurboTax | |
| 20 | | "Free Edition" and Intuit's Free File product, TurboTax "Freedom Edition," | |
| 21 | | knowing that reasonable consumers are likely to confuse these two products with | |
| 22 | | nearly identical names; | |
| 23 | f. | Misrepresenting to consumers that TurboTax "Free Edition," "Deluxe," | |
| 24 | | "Premiere," and "Self-Employed" are the only TurboTax online products, when in | |
| 25 | | fact TurboTax "Freedom Edition" is a fifth product offering; | |
| 26 | g. | Misrepresenting to Free File-eligible consumers that a particular paid product is | |
| 27 | | the best product for them; | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | 21 | |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO | |
| | | | |

| 1 | h. Misrepresenting to free file-eligible consumers who enter tax information | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | unsupported by TurboTax "Free Edition" that they will need to upgrade to | | |
| 3 | complete and file their return; | | |
| 4 | i. Advertising "FREE Guaranteed" tax filing services when in fact only a small | | |
| 5 | percentage of consumers are able to complete their tax returns for free on the | | |
| 6 | TurboTax primary website; | | |
| 7 | j. Heavily marketing TurboTax "Free Edition" in a manner that makes it likely to be | | |
| 8 | confused with TurboTax's "Freedom Edition;" and | | |
| 9 | k. Requiring consumers to invest substantial time and effort inputting their tax return | | |
| 10 | information through the TurboTax "Free Edition" software before alerting them | | |
| 11 | that they cannot complete their returns using "Free Edition," and then manipulating | | |
| 12 | them into paying for various product upgrades. | | |
| 13 | 94. Defendant's representations and omissions were material because they were likely to | | |
| 14 | deceive reasonable consumers who were eligible for Free File into using and paying for TurboTax's | | |
| 15 | paid products. | | |
| 16 | 95. Defendant acted intentionally, knowingly, and maliciously to violate New York's General | | |
| 17 | Business Law, and recklessly disregarded Plaintiff and New York Subclass members' rights. | | |
| 18 | 96. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's deceptive and unlawful acts and practices, | | |
| 19 | Plaintiff Arena and New York Subclass members have suffered ascertainable losses of money or | | |
| 20 | property, and monetary damages, including the amounts paid to TurboTax or deducted from their returns | | |
| 21 | as part of the filing process. | | |
| 22 | 97. Defendant's deceptive and unlawful acts and practices complained of herein affected the | | |
| 23 | public interest and consumers at large. | | |
| 24 | 98. The above deceptive and unlawful practices and acts by Intuit caused substantial injury to | | |
| 25 | Plaintiff Arena and New York Subclass members that they could not reasonably avoid. | | |
| 26 | 99. Plaintiff Arena and New York Subclass members seek all monetary and non-monetary | | |
| 27 | relief allowed by law, including actual damages or statutory damages of \$50 (whichever is greater), | | |
| 28 | treble damages, injunctive relief, and attorney's fees and costs. | | |
| | 22 | | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | |

CASE NO. _____

| 1 | <u>COUNT V</u> | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. §§ 201-2 | | |
| 3 | & 201-3, <i>et seq</i> . | | |
| 4 | (On Behalf of Plaintiff Brougher and the Pennsylvania Subclass) | | |
| 5 | 100. Plaintiff Brougher, individually and on behalf of the Pennsylvania Subclass, incorporates | | |
| 6 | the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein. | | |
| 7 | 101. Defendant is a "person," as meant by 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-2(2). | | |
| 8 | 102. Plaintiff Brougher and Pennsylvania Subclass members purchased goods and services in | | |
| 9 | "trade" and "commerce," as meant by 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. § 201-2(3), primarily for personal, family, | | |
| 10 | and/or household purposes. | | |
| 11 | 103. Defendant engaged in unfair methods of competition or deceptive acts and practices in the | | |
| 12 | conduct of its trade and commerce in violation of 73 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. § 201-3, including the | | |
| 13 | following: | | |
| 14 | a. Representing that its goods and services have characteristics, uses, benefits, and | | |
| 15 | qualities that they do not have (73 Pa. Stat. Ann § 201-2(4)(v)); | | |
| 16 | b. Representing that its goods and services are of a particular standard or quality if | | |
| 17 | they are of another (73 Pa. Stat. Ann § 201-2(4)(vii)); | | |
| 18 | c. Advertising goods or services with intent not to sell them as advertised (73 Pa. | | |
| 19 | Stat. Ann § 201-2(4)(ix)); and | | |
| 20 | d. Engaging in any other fraudulent or deceptive conduct which creates a likelihood | | |
| 21 | of confusion or of misunderstanding (73 Pa. Stat. Ann § 201-2(4)(ix)). | | |
| 22 | 104. Intuit's unfair or deceptive acts and practices include: | | |
| 23 | a. Adding code to its TurboTax Free File Website that prevents it from appearing in | | |
| 24 | online search results, rendering the site non-discoverable by consumers searching | | |
| 25 | on Google or other search engines; | | |
| 26 | b. Upon information and belief, associating its Google Search Ads for its paid | | |
| 27 | products with keywords likely to be used by consumers searching for the IRS Free | | |
| 28 | File program; | | |
| | 23 | | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | с. | Not providing an option for users to navigate directly from the TurboTax Main |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | Website to the TurboTax Free File Website; |
| 3 | d. | Deliberately choosing not to inform TurboTax customers of Intuit's Free File |
| 4 | | product, TurboTax "Freedom Edition," even after customers share information |
| 5 | | with Intuit indicating their eligibility for it; |
| 6 | e. | Intentionally obscuring and failing to disclose the differences between TurboTax |
| 7 | | "Free Edition" and Intuit's Free File product, TurboTax "Freedom Edition," |
| 8 | | knowing that reasonable consumers are likely to confuse these two products with |
| 9 | | nearly identical names; |
| 10 | f. | Misrepresenting to consumers that TurboTax "Free Edition," "Deluxe," |
| 11 | | "Premiere," and "Self-Employed" are the only TurboTax online products, when in |
| 12 | | fact TurboTax "Freedom Edition" is a fifth product offering; |
| 13 | g. | Misrepresenting to Free File-eligible consumers that a particular paid product is |
| 14 | | the best product for them; |
| 15 | h. | Misrepresenting to free file-eligible consumers who enter tax information |
| 16 | | unsupported by TurboTax "Free Edition" that they will need to upgrade to |
| 17 | | complete and file their return; |
| 18 | i. | Advertising "FREE Guaranteed" tax filing services when in fact only a small |
| 19 | | percentage of consumers are able to complete their tax returns for free on the |
| 20 | | TurboTax primary website; |
| 21 | j. | Heavily marketing TurboTax "Free Edition" in a manner that makes it likely to be |
| 22 | | confused with TurboTax's "Freedom Edition;" and |
| 23 | k. | Requiring consumers to invest substantial time and effort inputting their tax return |
| 24 | | information through the TurboTax "Free Edition" software before alerting them |
| 25 | | that they cannot complete their returns using "Free Edition," and then manipulating |
| 26 | | them into paying for various product upgrades. |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | 24 |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT CASE NO. |
| | | |
| | | |

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 26 of 27

105. Defendant's representations and omissions were material because they were likely to deceive reasonable consumers who were eligible for Free File into using and paying for TurboTax's paid products.

106. Defendant intended to mislead Plaintiff Brougher and Pennsylvania Subclass members and induce them to rely on its misrepresentations and omissions.

107. Had Defendant not misled Plaintiff Brougher and Pennsylvania Subclass members, they would have not paid to use Defendant's products.

108. Defendant acted intentionally, knowingly, and maliciously to violate Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law, and recklessly disregarded Plaintiff Brougher and Pennsylvania Subclass members' rights.

109. As a direct and proximate result of Intuit's deceptive and unlawful acts and practices, Plaintiff Brougher and Pennsylvania Subclass members have suffered ascertainable losses of money or property, and monetary damages, including the amounts paid to TurboTax or deducted from their returns 14 as part of the filing process.

15 110. Plaintiff Brougher and Pennsylvania Subclass members seek all monetary and non-16 monetary relief allowed by law, including actual damages or statutory damages of \$100 (whichever is 17 greater), treble damages, attorney's fees and costs, and any additional relief the Court deems necessary 18 or proper.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the proposed classes, respectfully request that this Court:

a. Certify this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), (b)(3), and/or (c)(4);

- Appoint Plaintiffs as Class Representatives and their counsel as Class Counsel pursuant b. to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g);
 - c. Find Defendant's conduct was unlawful as alleged herein;
 - Enjoin Defendant from engaging in further unlawful conduct as alleged herein; d.

| Γ |
|---|
| |
| |

25

Case 5:19-cv-02546 Document 1 Filed 05/12/19 Page 27 of 27

| 1 | e. Award Plaintiffs and the class members nominal, actual, compensatory, consequentia | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | and punitive damages, except that no monetary relief is presently sought for violations of | | | |
| 3 | the Consumers Legal Remedies Act; | | | |
| 4 | f. Award Plaintiffs and class members statutory damages and penalties, as allowed by law; | | | |
| 5 | g. Award Plaintiffs and class members pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; | | | |
| 6 | h. Award Plaintiffs and class members reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses; and | | | |
| 7 | i. Grant such other relief as the Court deems just and proper. | | | |
| 8 | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL | | | |
| 9 | Plaintiffs and members of the proposed classes demand a trial by jury. | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | Dated: May | 12, 2019 Respectfully submitted, | | |
| 12 | | By: <u>/s/ Eric H. Gibbs</u> | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | Eric H. Gibbs (SBN 178658) Aaron Blumenthal (SBN 310605) | | |
| | | GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP | | |
| 15 | | 505 14th Street, Suite 1110 | | |
| 16 | | Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 350 0700 (tol) | | |
| 17 | | (510) 350-9700 (tel.) (510) 350-9701 (fax) | | |
| | | ehg@classlawgroup.com | | |
| 18 | | ab@classlawgroup.com | | |
| 19 | | Norman E. Siegel (pro hac vice forthcoming) | | |
| 20 | | J. Austin Moore (<i>pro hac vice</i> forthcoming) | | |
| 21 | | Jillian R. Dent (pro hac vice forthcoming) | | |
| 21 | | STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON LLP | | |
| 22 | | 460 Nichols Road, Suite 200 Kansas City, MO 64112 | | |
| 23 | | (816) 714-7100 (tel.) | | |
| | | (816) 714-7101 (fax.) | | |
| 24 | | siegel@stuevesiegel.com | | |
| 25 | | moore@stuevesiegel.com dent@stuevesiegel.com | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | | 26 | | |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | |
| | | CASE NO | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |