| | Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 | Filed 01/09/18 Page 1 of 24 |
|---|---|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Eric H. Gibbs (SBN 178658) David Stein (SBN 257465) Joshua Bloomfield (SBN 212172) GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP 505 14th Street, Suite 1110 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 350-9700 Facsimile: (510) 350-9701 ehg@classlawgroup.com ds@classlawgroup.com Jjb@classlawgroup.com Andrew N. Friedman Douglas J. McNamara COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLL 1100 New York Ave. NW, Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 408-4600 afriedman@cohenmilstein.com | C |
| 13 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | |
| 14 15 | NORTHERN DIST | ES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA DSE DIVISION |
| 16 17 18 | JOHN FERGUSON, KELLI BEAUGEZ, GREGORY STENSTROM on behalf of themselves and those similarly situated, Plaintiffs, | Case No: 18-cv-00206 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| 19 | VS. | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL |
| 20 21 | APPLE, INC. | <u>CLASS ACTION</u> |
| 21 | Defendant. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 27 | | |
| 27 28 | | |
| 20 | | |
| | CLASS AC | TION COMPLAINT |
| | | |

Plaintiffs John Ferguson, Kelli Beaugez, and Gregory Stenstrom, individually and on behalf
 of others similarly situated, bring this class action lawsuit against Defendant, Apple, Inc. ("Apple"),
 based upon personal knowledge of the facts pertaining to themselves, and upon information and
 belief as to all other matters, hereby allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Apple releases new iPhone models on a periodic basis; for example, it released the
iPhone 6 and iPhone 7 in 2014 and 2016 respectively, also releasing "S" and "Plus" versions of
those models roughly every other year. Often around the same time it releases a new iPhone model,
Apple updates the operating software (known as "iOS") that runs on the phones. When Apple
updates the iOS, Apple pushes the update for download on both the newest model and the older
models.

12 2. This case concerns Apple's decision to impose, through its iOS software, 13 performance requirements that exceed the capabilities of the hardware on the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 14 6s, 6s Plus, 7, and 7 Plus (the "Subject iPhones"). Notably, with iOS 10 and subsequent iOS 15 updates, these iPhone models, which had up to that point been functioning acceptably, began 16 experiencing sudden shutdowns where the phones would lose all power and restart without warning. 17 3. Apple responded by releasing iOS update 10.2.1 around January 2017, and later 18 update 11.2.0. But rather than restoring the iPhones to perform as they were previously capable, the 19 updates only stopped the shutdowns by imposing a variety of drastic software throttles that slow 20 down the performance, impair battery life, and reduce functionality of the phones-by as much as 21 60%. Apple did not disclose that it was throttling performance and instead misrepresented to 22 consumers that the updates were an improvement.

4. Apple's actions thus steered consumers away from blaming Apple for the abrupt iOScaused shutdowns, and instead made it seem like their phones were simply nearing the end of their
useful lives due to the passage of time. As a result, many people went out and bought new iPhones,
while others have just suffered through using much slower phones, as they were under the mistaken
belief that nothing could be done to rejuvenate them.

28

5.

5

Most recently, Apple was forced to admit that it used iOS updates 10.2.1 and 11.2.0

2

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 3 of 24

to intentionally slow down the computer processor of the devices to avoid sudden shutdowns. As
part of its admission, Apple told consumers that they could temporarily restore their phones' pre-iOS
update performance by buying a new battery for their phone. Many consumers are now paying or
have paid Apple \$30-\$80 for a battery, and Apple is expected to take in upwards of \$1.5 billion from
the battery sales alone. But replacing the battery is a temporary solution that cannot correct the
underlying design incompatibility.

7 6. Plaintiffs bring this proposed class action to seek appropriate remuneration and 8 equitable relief in light of Apple's unlawful and deceptive conduct. Plaintiffs seek a nationwide 9 class under California law, consistent with Apple's terms of service for the iOS software agreements. 10 Plaintiffs seek the following remedies: 1) Appropriate injunctive relief, including an order requiring 11 Apple to modify the iOS to prevent it from intentionally degrading the performance and battery life 12 of the Subject iPhones; prohibiting Apple from throttling future iPhone models, particularly without 13 the express consent of affected consumers; and requiring Apple to tell Subject iPhone users that the 14 iOS and iOS updates on the phones throttled the phones' performance; and 2) Remuneration from 15 Apple to consumers in connection with the Subject iPhones' reduced performance and battery life, and as compensation for those who purchased replacement batteries and iPhones following the iOS-16 17 induced slowdowns.

18

PARTIES

19 7. Plaintiff John Ferguson purchased an iPhone SE. He is a citizen of California, and a
20 resident of Yuba City.

8. Plaintiff Kelli Beaugez leased an iPhone 7 as part of her cellphone service. She is a
citizen of Virginia, and a resident of Sterling.

9. Plaintiff Gregory Stenstrom purchased an iPhone 6s Plus. He is a citizen of
Pennsylvania, and a resident of Glen Mills.

25 10. Defendant, Apple, Inc., is a California corporation headquartered in Cupertino,
26 California. Apple designs and markets its iPhone devices throughout the United States. Decisions
27 regarding how to market the phones and even Software Updates are made in Cupertino.

28

| 1 | | JURISDICTION AND VENUE |
|--|--|--|
| 2 | 11. | This is a class action, on behalf of more than one hundred putative class members, for |
| 3 | | exceed \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. |
| 4 | 12. | This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). |
| 5 | 12. | Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Court because Defendant is |
| 6 | | d here, and because Apple engages in substantial, continuous, systematic, and non- |
| 0 7 | - | |
| 8 | | ness activity within the state of California. |
| | 14. | Venue is proper within this District because a substantial part of the events giving rise |
| 9 | | occurred in this District, namely that Apple determined how to disclose its Software |
| 10 | Updates with | in this District. |
| 11 | | INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT |
| 12 | 15. | Assignment is proper to the San Jose division of this District under Local Rule 3-2(c)- |
| 13 | (e), as a subst | tantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in Santa |
| 14 | Clara County | , where Apple is headquartered. |
| 15 | | GENERAL ALLEGATIONS |
| | | |
| 16 | А. | Apple's Operating System and Software Updates |
| 16 17 | A. 16. | Apple's Operating System and Software Updates Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices |
| | 16. | |
| 17 | 16. | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices |
| 17 18 | 16. known as iPh | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices |
| 17 18 19 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, |
| 17 18 19 20 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. operating sys | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. operating sys applications. 18. | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The tem is the software that helps the device function and carry out commands and run |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. operating sys applications. 18. it installs it or | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The tem is the software that helps the device function and carry out commands and run When Apple releases a new operating system or updates an existing operating system, |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. operating sys applications. 18. it installs it or under warran | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The tem is the software that helps the device function and carry out commands and run When Apple releases a new operating system or updates an existing operating system, n phones it is selling and making available for sale; installs it on phones it is repairing |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. operating sys applications. 18. it installs it or under warran | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The tem is the software that helps the device function and carry out commands and run When Apple releases a new operating system or updates an existing operating system, n phones it is selling and making available for sale; installs it on phones it is repairing ty; and also alerts existing iPhone owners to download the updates through "push" |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. operating sys applications. 18. it installs it of under warran notifications. | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The tem is the software that helps the device function and carry out commands and run When Apple releases a new operating system or updates an existing operating system, n phones it is selling and making available for sale; installs it on phones it is repairing ty; and also alerts existing iPhone owners to download the updates through "push" |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. operating sys applications. 18. it installs it of under warran notifications. other bugs. | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The tem is the software that helps the device function and carry out commands and run When Apple releases a new operating system or updates an existing operating system, n phones it is selling and making available for sale; installs it on phones it is repairing ty; and also alerts existing iPhone owners to download the updates through "push" Operating system updates may solve existing problems, including security flaws and |
| 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 16. known as iPh and 7 Plus. 17. operating sys applications. 18. it installs it of under warran notifications. other bugs. | Apple is in the business of, among other things, designing and selling mobile devices nones. Models pertinent to this litigation include the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, Apple also creates iPhone operating systems (or "iOS's") for its phones. The tem is the software that helps the device function and carry out commands and run When Apple releases a new operating system or updates an existing operating system, n phones it is selling and making available for sale; installs it on phones it is repairing ty; and also alerts existing iPhone owners to download the updates through "push" Operating system updates may solve existing problems, including security flaws and Downloading iOS updates is functionally mandatory. Apple's push notifications |

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 5 of 24

continue until the iOS update is downloaded. And without an update, the iPhone is likely to begin experiencing functionality problems. For example, applications on the phone are likely to stop working because the latest updates for those applications often require the application to be run on the newer iOS. iPhones that aren't updated may also become vulnerable to security vulnerabilities, since Apple does not push security updates for the older iOS.

21.

20. The push notification for the iOS 10.1.1 update, for example, looked like this:



С CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

For information on the security content of Apple software

updates, please visit this website: https://support.apple.com/HT201222

Download and Install

| | Case 5:18-cv-00206 | 5 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 | Page 6 of 24 |
|---------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | 22. An example, for | r the iOS 11.2.0 update, appears belo | |
| 2 | < | General Software Update | Í |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | iOS 11.2 Apple Inc. 349 MB | |
| 5 | | iOS 11.2 introduces Apple Pay Cash to | |
| 6 | | send, request, and receive money from friends and family with Apple Pay. This | |
| 7 | | update also includes bug fixes and improvements. | |
| 8 9 | | For information on the security content of Apple software updates, | |
| 9 10 | | please visit this website: https://support.apple.com/kb/HT20122 | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | Learn More > | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | Download and Install | |
| 15 | 23. The Apple iOS | Software License Agreement terms t | hat accompany Apple's software |
| 16 | | updated or replaced by future enhan | |
| 17 | | sla/docs/iOS11.pdf at §1(b). The agr | |
| 18 | | "governed by and construed in accor | |
| 19 | | iflict of law principles. <i>Id.</i> at § 12. | |
| 20 | | for their use of iOS, consumers agree | ee to be locked into Apple's |
| 21 | | ses of software applications must be | |
| 22 | Store." Consumers cannot inte | eract directly with software vendors, | guaranteeing that Apple will take |
| 23 | 30 percent of each of their App | Store transactions. In short, Apple | benefits greatly when consumers |
| 24 | use iOS-equipped smart phone | s. | |
| 25 | B. Apple's iOS So | ftware Caused Battery and Perfor | mance Problems in Subject |
| 26 | iPhones by Fal | l of 2016 | |
| 27 | 25. Apple released | iOS 10 in September 2016. In the w | eeks and months that followed, |
| 28 | Apple pushed updates to iOS 1 | 0, including update 10.1.1 in Octobe | r 2016 and 10.2.1 in January |
| | | 6 | |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | |
| | 1 | | |

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 7 of 24

2017. Later, in September 2017, Apple released iOS 11. Subsequently, Apple released a string of
 further iOS updates, including iOS 11.2.0.

3 26. Starting in October 2016, iPhone owners who had downloaded the latest iOS updates
4 were reporting that their iPhones were shutting down suddenly and without warning. The shutdowns
5 did not appear to be due to a lack of battery reserve, since when users rebooted their iPhones
6 following the shutdown, the phones would immediately show substantial battery power remaining—
7 often in the range of 30-40%.¹

8 27. By November 23, 2016, Apple's official Support Communities forum contained a 9 thread that was 11 pages long and growing, with users detailing how iPhones were suddenly shutting 10 down.² The shutdown problem was affecting all of the Subject iPhones, including the iPhone 7, 11 which was brand new. One consumer posted that he had experienced the shutdown problem on his 12 iPhone 7 even though the phone was only a week old. By January 2017, at least one prominent tech 13 journalist was referring to the shutdown problem as "infamous."³

- 14 28. On November 30, 2016, Apple admitted that there was a problem which led the
 15 phones to "unexpectedly shut down." But Apple claimed the problem affected only a "very small
 16 number of iPhone 6s devices," and Apple suggested a small-scale issue with the lithium ion batteries
 17 was the root cause of the problem. Apple promised those purchasers a replacement battery free of
 18 charge. The program covered the "the first three years after the first retail sale of the unit"—an
 19 acknowledgment by Apple that no phone in use less than three years should be experiencing such
 20 problems.
- 21 29. Yet as Apple knew then or would soon discover, the shutdowns were not attributable
 22 solely to battery degradation as Apple implied; only after substantial use—about three years
 23 following purchase—would an iPhone battery be reasonably expected to degrade to the point that it
 24
- ¹ Gordon Kelly, *Apple iOS 10.2.1 Has A Nasty Surprise*, Forbes (Jan. 24, 2017), https://goo.gl/8MefnM.
 ² Id.
 ³ Id.

could no longer meet the phone's performance demands. The relatively new iPhone 6 and 7 models
 were experiencing shutdowns because the phones hardware could not meet the performance
 demands created by the iOS and iOS updates. Even as this became increasingly clear, Apple did not
 extend its initial program of providing free batteries to allow these phones to function properly
 throughout at least the first three years of their life.

6

C. Apple Surreptitiously Includes "Throttling" Technology in its iOS Upgrades

7 30. Rather than revert to a version of the iOS that was compatible with the phones' 8 hardware, or provide free batteries to meet the demands created by iOS updates, Apple opted to 9 decrease unexpected shutdowns through "throttling." The throttling is implemented by, among other 10 things, capping the iPhone CPU's maximum frequency well below its original maximum. This 11 meant slowing down processing on the phones, which entails, among other things, longer launch 12 times for applications, lower frame rates when scrolling, backlight dimming, lower speaker volume 13 by up to -3dB, frame rate reductions in some applications, and the need to reload applications upon 14 launch.

15 31. On January 25, 2017, Apple released iOS 10.2.1, which Apple said was compatible
16 with Subject iPhones. Through the iOS update, however, Apple introduced throttling as a way to
17 avoid shutdowns in the iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, and 6s Plus.⁴

32. Apple subsequently released iOS 11.2 on December 2, 2017. Apple again claimed
the update was compatible with Subject iPhones, and the iOS update introduced throttling to avoid
shutdowns in the iPhone 7 and 7 Plus—even though those models were barely one year old.

33. Upon downloading iOS 10.2.1 and then 11.2 (for those with an iPhone 7 or 7 Plus),
existing iPhone users, like Plaintiffs, began to experience sluggish performance on their phone and
decreased battery life. But it was not known that Apple was deliberately slowing down older
iPhones.⁵

25

26 4 Id.

27 ⁵ M. Panzarino, https://www.theverge.com/2017/12/20/16800058/apple-iphone-slow-fix-battery-life-capacity, last accessed on Jan. 5, 2018.

28

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 9 of 24

34. An analysis by Geekbench (which measures computer processing benchmarks) shows
 that after updating iPhones to an iOS that throttles performance, there were more "cluster points"
 where performance would slow down. The chart below shows phone performance before and after
 iOS updates that use a "throttling" program. Operations run smoothly until the battery dies. The
 recent iOS updates bottle up user performance at several points:



35. As explained, "where the peaks happen represents the cluster of phones running at 16 that particular performance level. And the height of the peaks (in blue) represents the relative 17 frequency of benchmarks being performed at that performance level." This translates to a real loss 18 of performance. For example, "the iPhone 6s is slowed down by nearly 60%."⁶ This "effectively 19 turns the device's performance into that of a device 1-2 generations older."⁷ The "software throttle 20 was not there when the phone was sold. It was put in there to mitigate Apple's product liability [for 21 unexpected shutdowns] without the customer's knowledge or consent. ... Without the throttle the 22 phones would shut down while still showing charge." 23

- 24
- 25

- 7 Id.
- 28

9

 ⁶ https://seekingalpha.com/article/4133931-apple-wanted-know-iphone-throttling-scandal?page=2,
 ¹ last accessed on Jan. 5, 2018.

| | Case 5:18-cv-00206 | Document 1 | Filed 01/09/18 | Page 10 of 24 |
|--|--------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|
|--|--------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|

| | Case | 2 5.16-CV-00200 Document 1 Filed 01/09/16 Fage 10 01 24 | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| 1 | D. | The iOS Updates Also Reduce Battery Life | |
| 2 | 36. | The throttling technology—aimed at preventing unexpected shutdowns—also | |
| 3 | increases bat | tery depletion. | |
| 4 | 37. | For example, a study comparing iPhones using the iOS 10.2 system found that on | |
| 5 | average, the | phone reached 0% battery life after 240 minutes. Meanwhile, iPhones operating on the | |
| 6 | iOS 11 system | n on average reached 0% battery life after just 96 minutes. ⁸ | |
| 7 | 38. | As a result of the shorter battery life, many consumers will just leave their iPhone | |
| 8 | continuously | plugged in. But while this will avoid a quick battery drain from shutting off the device, | |
| 9 | it actually fur | ther erodes battery life and the device itself. As noted in Popular Science, | |
| 10 | | If you do fill your battery all the way up, don't leave the device plugged | |
| 11 | | in. Instead, follow the shallow discharge and recharge cycle we just mentioned. This isn't a safety issue: Lithium-ion batteries have built-in | |
| 12 | | safeguards designed to stop them from exploding if they're left charging while at maximum capacity. But in the long term, electronics will age | |
| 13 | | faster if they're constantly plugged in while already charged to 100 | |
| 14 | | percent. ⁹ | |
| 15 | Е. | Apple's Admissions and Reduced Battery Offer | |
| 16 | 39. | On December 20, 2017, Apple admitted that the Software Updates indeed impact | |
| 17 | performance. Apple stated: | | |
| 18 | | Last year we released a feature for iPhone 6, iPhone 6s and iPhone SE to | |
| 19 | | smooth out the instantaneous peaks only when needed to prevent the device from unexpectedly shutting down during these conditions. We've | |
| 20 | | now extended that feature to iPhone 7 with iOS 11.2, and plan to add support for other products in the future. ¹⁰ | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | 40. | Apple explained that starting with its iOS 10.2.1 update, the "iOS dynamically | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | ⁸ https://www | v.wandera.com/blog/ios-11-battery-drain/, last accessed on Jan. 4, 2018. | |
| 25 | ⁹ Nield, "How https://www." | v to Charge Your Devices the Right Way," Aug. 23, 2017, popsci.com/charge-batteries-right#page-4, last accessed on Jan. 4, 2018. | |
| 26 27 20 | ¹⁰ M. Panzari running 'slov | no, "Apple addresses why people are saying their iPhones with older batteries are ver", Dec. 20, 2017, https://techcrunch.com/2017/12/20/apple-addresses-why-people- eir-iphones-with-older-batteries-are-running-slower/, last accessed on Jan. 5, 2018. | |
| 28 | | 10 | |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | |
| | | | |

Н

| 1 | manages the maximum performance of some system components when needed to prevent a |
|----|---|
| 2 | shutdown. While these changes may go unnoticed, in some cases users may experience longer |
| 3 | launch times for apps and other reductions in performance." ¹¹ |
| 4 | 41. Apple acknowledged customer complaints, but blamed some performance issues on |
| 5 | the battery degradation: |
| 6 | Over the course of this fall, we began to receive feedback from some users |
| 7 | who were seeing slower performance in certain situations. Based on our experience, we initially thought this was due to a combination of two |
| 8 | factors: a normal, temporary performance impact when upgrading the operating system as iPhone installs new software and updates apps, and |
| 9 | minor bugs in the initial release which have since been fixed. |
| 10 | We now believe that another contributor to these user experiences is the |
| 11 | continued chemical aging of the batteries in older iPhone 6 and iPhone 6s devices, many of which are still running on their original batteries. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | 42. Although Apple blames normal battery deterioration, other smart phone |
| 14 | manufacturers use similar lithium-ion batteries and have not experienced the same problems or |
| 15 | resorted to throttling their phones' performance. Samsung, for example, guarantees its Galaxy S7 |
| 16 | and Note & lithium-ion batteries will retain 95% of their capacity for at least two years; likewise, LG |
| 17 | and Google warranty their smart phones' batteries for two years. ¹² |
| 18 | 43. Apple's "solution" for pushing operating system upgrades that surreptitiously |
| 19 | degraded performance was to lower the price of battery replacements from \$79 to \$29 through the |
| 20 | end of 2018. Even with the price reduction, Apple is expected to take in upwards of \$1.5 billion |
| 21 | from the battery sales alone; this revenue estimate does not incorporate the substantial sums Apple |
| 22 | has accrued by selling new iPhones to consumers who believed their older models had become |
| 23 | outdated, when in reality their phones were being throttled. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | ¹¹ http://bgr.com/2017/12/28/iphone-battery-apple-apology-letter-battery-replacement/, last accessed on Jan. 4, 2018. |
| 27 | ¹² Gordon Kelly, <i>Why Your iPhone Is Slowing Down</i> , Forbes (Dec. 21, 2017), https://goo.gl/5pWf1X. |
| 28 | Inden Product IIIII |
| | 11 |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| | |

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 12 of 24

| 1 | 44. What's more, the demand for batteries is creating a backlog. ¹³ According to an | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | analysis by Barclay's, "[a]s of Jan 2nd, 77% of iPhone users are using iPhone 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, | | |
| 3 | 7, 7 Plus, and SE. Based on a conservative estimate of 675M total iPhone installed base as of Dec- | | |
| 4 | Q, this implies 519M users are subject to or mostly 'eligible' for this offer." ¹⁴ iFixit's Kyle Wiens | | |
| 5 | has hypothesized that it may not even be possible for every iPhone customer eligible to take | | |
| 6 | advantage of the program if they wanted to: | | |
| 7 | Apple reportedly has 47,000 retail employees. If they trained all of them to | | |
| 8 | do iPhone battery swaps, and they did nothing but that, it would take 2.7 years to clear out the backlog. But by then, more iPhones would need new | | |
| 9 | batteries. ¹⁵ | | |
| 10 | 45. Not downloading the iOS has its risks. Apple recently announced that all its iPhones, | | |
| 11 | iPads and Mac computers are affected by two recently disclosed processor flaws called Spectre and | | |
| 12 | Meltdown. ¹⁶ These flaws present potential security breaches. Apple stated it has released patches to | | |
| 13 | defend against Meltdown in iOS 11.2, macOS 10.13.2, and tvOS 11.2. Thus, customers who have | | |
| 14 | yet to download the iOS updates to avoid sluggish performance and battery drain leave themselves | | |
| 15 | exposed to greater risks of a security breach. | | |
| 16 | 46. The iOS upgrades have put customers in a terrible situation. Customers unhappy with | | |
| 17 | their iPhone's performance after the software update cannot undo it. They could purchase a new | | |
| 18 | battery for their existing iPhone, buy a newer iPhone compatible with the iOS 11 and later systems, | | |
| 19 | at a cost between \$699 to over \$1000, or run the risk of a safety breach. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | ¹³ See, e.g., https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2018/01/04/run-dont-walk-to-replace-your-iphone-battery-for-29/?utm_term=.97e83c7d302c, last accessed on Jan. 5, 2018. See | | |
| 23 | also http://fortune.com/2018/01/04/apple-iphone-battery-upgrade-wait/, last accessed on Jan. 5, 2018 ("If you want to upgrade your iPhone battery, expect to have to wait for several weeks."). | | |
| 24 | ¹⁴ https://www.barrons.com/articles/apples-battery-gate-fix-good-pr-move-may-hurt-iphone-sales- | | |
| 25 | says-barclays-1514994135?mg=prod/accounts-barrons, last accessed on Jan. 5, 2018. ¹⁵ https://9to5mac.com/2018/01/04/swap-apocalypse-iphone-battery-replacement/, last accessed on | | |
| 26 | Jan. 5, 2018. | | |
| 27 | ¹⁶ http://money.cnn.com/2018/01/04/technology/business/apple-macs-ios-spectre- meltdown/index.html, last accessed on Jan. 5, 2018. | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | |
| | 1 | | |

F. Plaintiffs' Experiences

1 2

3

4

5

6

47. Plaintiff John Ferguson purchased his iPhone SE in California in approximately 2015.
48. In the years that followed, Plaintiff Ferguson periodically downloaded iOS updates
pushed by Apple, including for iOS 10 and iOS 11. Plaintiff Ferguson reviewed the notifications and
brief descriptions of the software updates, which did not alert him that updating the operating system
could reduce its performance.

7 49. After downloading the iOS updates, Plaintiff Ferguson's phone started to perform
8 more sluggishly. He noticed it would take more time to run applications. He also had to charge it
9 constantly. After charging it all night, he found his phone it could not go more than a few hours
10 until it was down to 50% charged.

11

50. Plaintiff Kelli Beaugez leased her iPhone 7 in 2015.

12 51. In the years that followed, Plaintiff Beaugez periodically downloaded iOS updates
13 pushed by Apple, including for iOS 10 and iOS 11. Plaintiff Beaugez reviewed the push
14 notifications and brief descriptions of the software upgrades, which did not alert her that updating
15 the operating system could reduce its performance.

16 52. After the upgrades, she noticed a degradation in performance. Applications would
17 "hang" and not open. She noticed her battery life would plummet from 100% charge to 30% in a
18 few hours.

19 53. Frustrated with the performance of her phone, she leased an iPhone 8 in late 2017.
20 She would not have obtained her iPhone 8 but for the performance and battery problems caused by
21 the iOS upgrade.

54. Plaintiff Gregory Stenstrom purchased his iPhone 6s Plus in or around the fall of23 2015.

55. In the years that followed, Plaintiff Stenstrom periodically downloaded the iOS
updates pushed by Apple, including for iOS 10 and iOS 11. Plaintiff Stenstrom reviewed the push
notifications and brief descriptions of the software upgrades, which did not alert him that updating
the operating system could reduce its performance.



56. After the upgrades, he noticed a degradation in performance. His phone experiences
13

1 abrupt shutdowns and sluggishness.

| | _ | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | | CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS | |
| 3 | 57. | Plaintiffs seek certification of a Class defined to include: | |
| 4 | | All entities and individuals who have purchased or leased a new Subject | |
| 5 | | iPhone (<i>i.e.</i> , an iPhone SE, 6, 6 Plus, 6s, 6s Plus, 7, or 7 Plus) in the United States before December 20, 2017. | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | 58. | Excluded from the Class are Apple and its employees, officers, directors, legal | |
| 8 | representativ | res, successors and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliated companies; class | |
| 9 | counsel and | their employees; and the judicial officers and their immediate family members and | |
| 10 | associated co | ourt staff assigned to this case. | |
| 11 | 59. | The Class can be readily identified from Apple's records of iPhone owners, and its | |
| 12 | own data as t | to who has updated their systems. For instance, when iPhones are activated, and when | |
| 13 | various funct | tions are performed on them, including downloading an iOS Software Update, the | |
| 14 | device transr | nits and Apple receives, collects, and maintains, both a "unique hardware identifier" for | |
| 15 | the iPhone as | s well as "unique account identifiers" associated with the owner's Apple ID. ¹⁷ | |
| 16 | А. | Numerosity | |
| 17 | There | e are tens of millions of Class members in the United States. Before the release of the | |
| 18 | iPhone 7, for | example, it was reported that there were 50 million iPhone 6 users and 20 million | |
| 19 | iPhone 5 or e | earlier users. ¹⁸ | |
| 20 | В. | Typicality | |
| 21 | 60. | Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the Class. Like members of the Class, | |
| 22 | Plaintiffs pur | rchased or leased a Subject iPhone and/or downloaded one or more iOS updates on | |
| 23 | Subject iPhones they own, which imposed performance demands that the phones' hardware could | | |
| 24 | not meet, throttled the phones' performance, and otherwise negatively impacted the performance and | | |
| 25 | utility of the | phones. | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | http://images.apple.com/legal/sla/docs/iOS11.pdf at 2, § 2(b). | |
| 28 | ¹⁸ http://bit.ly | y/2pDHcM7. | |
| | | 14 | |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | |
| | l | | |

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 15 of 24

C. Adequacy of Representation

61. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the Class' interests and have retained
counsel competent and experienced in class-action litigation. Plaintiffs' interests are coincident with,
and not antagonistic to, absent Class members' interests because by proving their individual claims,
they will necessarily prove the liability of Apple to the Class as well. Plaintiffs are cognizant of, and
determined to, faithfully discharge their fiduciary duties to the absent Class members as their
representatives.

8 62. Plaintiffs' counsel have substantial experience in prosecuting class actions, including
9 against Apple. Plaintiffs and their counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action, have
10 the financial resources to do so, and do not have any interests adverse to the Class.

11

D.

1

Commonality and Predominance

12 63. There are numerous questions of law and fact, the answers to which are common to
13 each Class and predominate over questions affecting only individual members, including the
14 following:

| 15 | a) Whether Apple installed iOS and pushed updates, including iOS 10.1.1, 10.2.1, |
|----|---|
| 16 | and 11.2.0, to Subject iPhones that imposed performance demands beyond the |
| 17 | capabilities of the phones' hardware; |
| 18 | b) Whether Apple installed iOS and pushed iOS updates, including 10.2.1 and 11.2.0, |
| 19 | to Subject iPhones, designed to throttle the phones' performance, degrading |
| 20 | computing performance and battery life; |
| 21 | c) Whether Apple's pushing of iOS updates that impose performance demands |
| 22 | beyond the capabilities of the phones' hardware or which throttle the phones' |
| 23 | performance constitutes a trespass to chattel; |
| 24 | d) Whether Apple's pushing of iOS updates that impose performance demands |
| 25 | beyond the capabilities of the phones' hardware or which throttle the phones' |
| 26 | performance constitutes a breach of an express contractual term or implied |
| 27 | contractual duty; |
| 28 | e) Whether Apple's pushing of iOS updates that impose performance demands |
| | 15 |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |

| 1 | beyond the capabilities of the phones' hardware or which throttle the phones' |
|----|---|
| 2 | performance constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or practice under California law; |
| 3 | f) Whether Apple's deceptive and unlawful conduct led to its unjust enrichment such |
| 4 | that Apple should be required to provide restitution to the Class; and |
| 5 | g) Whether Plaintiffs' and Class members are entitled to injunctive relief to halt |
| 6 | Apple's unlawful conduct. |
| 7 | E. Superiority and Manageability |
| 8 | 64. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient |
| 9 | adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all the individual Class members is impracticable. |
| 10 | Likewise, because the damages suffered by each individual Class member are relatively small, the |
| 11 | expense and burden of individual litigation would make it very difficult or impossible for individual |
| 12 | Class members to redress the wrongs done to each of them individually. And the burden imposed on |
| 13 | the judicial system by individual litigation would be enormous. |
| 14 | 65. The prosecution of separate actions by the individual Class members would also |
| 15 | create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications. The conduct of this action as a class action |
| 16 | presents far fewer management difficulties, conserves judicial resources and the parties' resources, |
| 17 | and protects the rights of each Class member. |
| 18 | <u>COUNT I</u> |
| 19 | FRAUDULENT CONDUCT IN VIOLATION OF |
| 20 | CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 et seq. |
| 21 | 66. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations in the paragraphs above as if fully set |
| 22 | forth herein. |
| 23 | 67. Plaintiffs assert this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Class. |
| 24 | 68. Plaintiffs are entitled to assert this cause of action for Apple's violations of California |
| 25 | Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq. because Apple's software agreement requires |
| 26 | application of California law. |
| 27 | 69. California Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq. (the "UCL") prohibits unfair |
| 28 | competition, that is, any unfair, unlawful, or a fraudulent business practice. |
| | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| | I Contraction of the second |

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 17 of 24

| 1 | 70. Apple violated the "fraudulent" prong of the UCL by making material |
|----|--|
| 2 | misrepresentations and failing to disclose material information regarding certain iOS updates, |
| 3 | including but not limited to 10 and 11, and its variants. In particular, Apple represented that the |
| 4 | updates were to fix software bugs and to improve security and Apple failed to say that the updates |
| 5 | imposed performance demands that the phones' hardware could not meet, throttled the phones' |
| 6 | performance, and otherwise negatively impacted the performance and utility of the phones. |
| 7 | 71. These material misrepresentations and nondisclosures were likely to mislead |
| 8 | reasonable consumers and the general public. Apple's misrepresentations and nondisclosures were |
| 9 | material, in that a reasonable person would attach importance to the information and would be |
| 10 | induced to act on the information in making purchase and product-updating decisions. |
| 11 | 72. Plaintiffs reasonably relied on Apple's material misrepresentations and/or |
| 12 | nondisclosures and lost money and/or property as a result in that, had they known the truth, they |
| 13 | would not have elected to download the iOS updates and thus would not have Subject iPhones of |
| 14 | degraded performance and utility and/or would not have purchased new iPhones and/or iPhone |
| 15 | batteries after the update that they otherwise would not have purchased. |
| 16 | 73. Plaintiffs seek an order enjoining Apple from committing such fraudulent business |
| 17 | practices immediately and in the future; an order requiring Apple to provide all potentially-affected |
| 18 | iPhone owners with reasonable notice that the poor performance of those devices is caused by |
| 19 | Apple's own modifications; restitution for themselves and all Class members in connection with |
| 20 | their purchase of iPhones and iPhone batteries; and/or all applicable pre- and post-judgment interest. |
| 21 | Plaintiffs also seek attorneys' fees and costs, for themselves and the Class, under Cal. Code Civ. |
| 22 | Proc. § 1021.5. |
| 23 | <u>COUNT II</u> |
| 24 | UNFAIR CONDUCT IN VIOLATION OF |
| 25 | CALIFORNIA BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200 et seq. |
| 26 | 74. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations in the paragraphs above as if fully set |
| 27 | forth herein. |
| 28 | 75. Plaintiffs assert this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Class. |
| | 17 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| | |

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 18 of 24

76. Apple's conduct violated the "unfair" prong of the UCL because it was immoral,
 unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, unconscionable, and/or substantially injurious to Plaintiffs and
 the Class. Apple's practice was also contrary to legislatively declared public policy and the harm it
 caused to consumers outweighed its utility, if any.

5 77. Apple sold Subject iPhones that were capable of a certain level of performance and 6 functionality, and then pushed iOS updates onto those phones that imposed performance demands 7 that the phones' hardware could not meet, throttled the phones' performance, and otherwise 8 negatively impacted their performance and utility. This conduct had the effect of making it seem 9 like the Subject iPhones had become outdated and in need of replacement simply due to the passage 10 of time, instead of alerting users to the fact that the phones were not capable of meeting the 11 performance demands that Apple imposed on them.

12 78. As a direct and proximate result of Apple's unfair conduct, Plaintiffs and the 13 members of the Class have lost money and/or property in that Apple's unfair conduct caused 14 Plaintiffs' and Class members' phones to experience degraded performance and utility leading many 15 to purchase new iPhones and/or iPhone batteries that they otherwise would not have purchased. 16 Plaintiffs seek an order enjoining Apple from committing such unfair business 79. 17 practices immediately and in the future; an order requiring Apple to provide all potentially-affected 18 iPhone owners with reasonable notice that the poor performance of those devices is caused by 19 Apple's own modifications; restitution for themselves and all Class members in connection with their purchase of iPhones and iPhone batteries; and/or all applicable pre- and post-judgment interest. 20 21 Plaintiffs also seek attorneys' fees and costs, for themselves and the Class, under Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5. 22 23 **COUNT III**

TRESPASS TO CHATTELS

80. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations above as if fully set forth herein. 81. Plaintiffs assert this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Class. 82. Plaintiffs and the Class were in possession of personal property in the form of their 83. Subject iPhones.

24

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 19 of 24

83. Apple interfered with Plaintiffs' and the Class's possession of their iPhones by
 pushing software updates that substantially degraded the phones' performance and utility.

3 84. Apple intentionally pushed iOS updates, including but not limited to iOS 10 and 11
4 and their variants, despite knowing that the updates imposed performance demands that the phones'
5 hardware could not meet, throttled the phones' performance, and otherwise negatively impacted the
6 performance and utility of the phones.

85. Apple did not disclose the negative impact of the iOS updates, and Plaintiffs and
Class members had no reason to know either when they acquired their iPhones or when they
downloaded iOS updates that Apple was pushing iOS updates that imposed performance demands
that the phones' hardware could not meet, throttled the phones' performance, and otherwise
negatively impacted the performance and utility of the phones.

12 86. Apple functionally forced consumers to update their iPhones to the latest version of 13 iOS. Users who don't update face constant push notifications encouraging them to update. Users 14 are not given the option of not updating: the push notifications give the user the options to "Install 15 Now" or "Later." Users who select "Later" are prompted to select a specific time for the phone to install the update without further user input. iPhones that are not updated also face a loss of 16 17 functionality for installed applications, which often require the latest version of iOS, and are 18 vulnerable to hackers because security updates are not provided for older versions of iOS. Not 19 updating is not an option that is offered or supported by Apple.

87. As a direct and proximate result of Apple's interference with their iPhones, Plaintiffs
and the Class suffered injury, including that their iPhones suffered a permanent and long-term
degradation in performance, utility, condition, quality, and value. As a result, Plaintiffs and/or Class
members were required and induced to purchase new iPhones and/or new batteries to their detriment
and Apple's benefit.

COUNT IV

BREACH OF CONTRACT

88. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations above as if fully set forth herein.

89. Plaintiffs assert this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Class.

25

26 27

28

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 20 of 24

| 1 | 90. | Apple requires everyone who downloads an iOS update, including iOS 10, 10.1.1, |
|----|---------------------------|---|
| 2 | 10.2.1, and 1 | 1.2.0, to agree to the terms of a contract: its iOS Software License Agreement. |
| 3 | Plaintiffs and | the Class entered into contracts with Apple in connection with their use of an iPhone, |
| 4 | using an App | ole iOS, and/or by downloading an iOS update, including Apple's software license. |
| 5 | 91. | Apple's Software License Agreement terms provide in part: "12. Controlling Law and |
| 6 | Severability. | This License will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the |
| 7 | State of Cali | ifornia, excluding its conflict of law principles." ¹⁹ |
| 8 | 92. | In the iOS Software License Agreement, Apple permits the iPhone device owner "to |
| 9 | use the iOS S | Software on a single Apple-branded iOS Device." Id. at 1, § 2(a). |
| 10 | 93. | Plaintiffs performed their obligations under the iOS Software License Agreement. |
| 11 | 94. | Apple breached its iOS Software License Agreement by providing software that |
| 12 | could not be | "used" as intended since the operating system software impaired the performance of the |
| 13 | Subject iPho | nes, reducing their ability to function as a "mobile" device. |
| 14 | 95. | As a result of Apple's breach of its promises in the iOS Software License Agreement, |
| 15 | Plaintiffs, an | d those similarly situated, have suffered damages in the form of the loss of the value of |
| 16 | their existing | giPhone. |
| 17 | 96. | Accordingly, Plaintiffs, and all those similarly situated, seek specific performance |
| 18 | and damages | for Apple's breach of contract. |
| 19 | | COUNT V |
| 20 | BRE | CACH OF IMPLIED DUTY TO PERFORM WITH REASONABLE CARE |
| 21 | 97. | Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations above as if fully set forth herein. |
| 22 | 98. | Plaintiffs assert this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Class. |
| 23 | 99. | Apple requires everyone who downloads an iOS update, including iOS 10, 10.1.1, |
| 24 | 10.2.1, and 1 | 1.2.0, to agree to the terms of a contract: its iOS Software License Agreement. |
| 25 | Plaintiffs and | the Class entered into contracts with Apple in connection with their use of an iPhone, |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | ¹⁹ http://imag | ges.apple.com/legal/sla/docs/iOS11.pdf |
| 28 | | See al here and a service a s |
| | | 20 CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMILLAINT |
| | • | |

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 21 of 24

using an Apple iOS, and/or by downloading an iOS update, including Apple's software license.
 They did so anticipating the software updates would improve phone performance, and not degrade
 performance and battery life.

4 100. Plaintiffs and Class members met all or substantially all of their contractual
5 obligations, including only using Apple licensed operating systems.

6 101. Apple was obligated to provide operating systems that would enhance iPhone
7 operations and not degrade performance or battery life. This obligation is implied by Apple's
8 conduct, its descriptions of the pushed operating systems as "upgrades," and the license agreement
9 itself.

10 102. Under California law, Apple was required to perform its contractual obligations
11 competently and with reasonable care. Apple breached that duty by providing software operating
12 systems that degraded the performance and battery life of the iPhones its customers purchased.

13 103. Had Apple used reasonable care, it would have provided operating systems that
14 improved the performance of its iPhones, or at least not degraded the performance.

15 104. As a result of Apple's failure to provide operating systems upgrades competently and
16 using reasonable care, Plaintiffs and Class members failed to receive the benefit of their bargain.
17 They are entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

18 105. Apple is aware of its breach, and has admitted that its software updates throttle device
19 performance, and its response is merely to offer reduced cost batteries until the end of 2018. This is
20 insufficient correction of Apple's breach.

21

22

25

<u>COUNT VI</u>

BREACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

23 106. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations in the paragraphs above as if fully set
24 forth herein.

107. Plaintiffs assert this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Class.

26 108. Plaintiffs and the Class entered into contracts with Apple in connection with their use
27 of an iPhone, using an Apple iOS, and/or by downloading an iOS update, including Apple's software
28 license.

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 22 of 24

- 1 109. Plaintiffs and the Class gave consideration that was fair and reasonable, and
 2 performed all of their material obligations under the contracts.
- 3 110. Implied in all contracts is a covenant of good faith and fair dealing, imposing a duty
 4 on the parties to act in good faith and deal fairly with one another.
- 5 111. Under the terms of its software license for both iOS 10 and iOS 11 and their variants,
 6 Apple reserved the right, "at its discretion, [to] make available future iOS Software Updates for your
 7 iOS Device." The terms of the software license "govern any iOS Software Updates provided by
 8 Apple that replace or supplement the Original iOS Software product, unless such iOS Software
 9 Update is accompanied by a separate license in which case the terms of that license will govern."
- 10 112. Plaintiffs and Class members had a reasonable expectation that when Apple used its
 11 discretion to create and push any iOS software updates to their iPhones, that the updates would be
 12 for the benefit of the phones' functionality and security, and would not impose performance
 13 demands that the phones' hardware could not meet, throttle the phones' performance, or otherwise
 14 negatively impact the performance and utility of the phones.
- 15 113. Apple nevertheless used its discretion to push iOS updates to the Subject iPhones that
 16 imposed performance demands that the phones' hardware could not meet, throttled the phones'
 17 performance, and otherwise negatively impacted the performance and utility of the phones.
- 18 114. Plaintiffs and Class members had no reason to know either when they acquired their
 iPhones or when they downloaded iOS updates that Apple was pushing iOS updates that imposed
 performance demands that the phones' hardware could not meet, throttled the phones' performance,
 and otherwise negatively impacted the performance and utility of the phones.
- 22 115. By providing the iOS updates described above, Apple breached its contractual duty of
 23 good faith and fair dealing.
- 116. By reason of Apple's breach, Plaintiffs and Class members suffered damages in that
 their iPhones suffered a degradation in performance and utility and they were required and induced
 to purchase new iPhones and/or new batteries to their detriment and Apple's benefit. Apple thus
 directly benefited from, and is being unjustly enriched by, the contractual breaches alleged herein.
- 28

22

117. As a result, Plaintiffs and the Class sustained damages in an amount to be determined

| 1 | by this Court. | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | <u>COUNT VII</u> | | | | |
| 3 | QUASI-CONTRACT CLAIM FOR RESTITUTION | | | | |
| 4 | 118. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege the allegations in the paragraphs above as if fully set | | | | |
| 5 | forth herein. | | | | |
| 6 | 119. | Plaintiffs assert this cause of action on behalf of themselves and the Class. | | | |
| 7 | 120. In the event it is found that Plaintiffs and the Class lack an adequate remedy at law, | | | | |
| 8 | Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to relief from Apple under quasi-contract principles. | | | | |
| 9 | 121. Through its conduct described above, Apple caused Plaintiffs' and Class members' | | | | |
| 10 | iPhones to suffer degraded performance and utility, depriving them of the benefit of their purchase | | | | |
| 11 | or lease of those iPhones and causing them to spend additional money on new iPhones as well as | | | | |
| 12 | replacement batteries for their existing iPhones, all of which led to Apple's unjust enrichment at | | | | |
| 13 | Plaintiffs' and Class members' expense. | | | | |
| 14 | 122. Under the circumstances, it would be inequitable for Apple to benefit from its | | | | |
| 15 | conduct. | | | | |
| 16 | 123. | To avoid injustice, Plaintiffs and the Class accordingly seeks restitution and/or | | | |
| 17 | disgorgement of profits in an amount to be proven at trial. | | | | |
| 18 | PRAYER FOR RELIEF | | | | |
| 19 | WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, hereby | | | | |
| 20 | demand: | | | | |
| 21 | a) | certification of the proposed Class; | | | |
| 22 | b) | appointment of the undersigned counsel as class counsel; | | | |
| 23 | c) an order enjoining Apple as detailed above, including enjoining Apple from engagir | | | | |
| 24 | any further in the unlawful conduct set forth herein; | | | | |
| 25 | d) | a declaration that Apple's actions described above violate the UCL, constitute a | | | |
| 26 | trespass to chattels, and breach Apple's contractual duties; | | | | |
| 27 | e) | restitution and disgorgement of all profits wrongfully obtained; | | | |
| 28 | f) | an award to Plaintiffs and the Class of all damages, including attorneys' fees and | | | |
| | 23 | | | | |
| | | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | |

Case 5:18-cv-00206 Document 1 Filed 01/09/18 Page 24 of 24

| 1 | reimbursement of litigation expenses, recoverable under applicable law; | | | | |
|----------|---|---------|--|--|--|
| 2 | h) such other relief as this Court deems just and equitable. | | | | |
| 3 | DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL | | | | |
| 4 | Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury on all applicable claims. | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | Dated: January 9 | 9, 2018 | /s/ David Stein | | |
| 8 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | Eric H. Gibbs | | |
| 9 | | | David Stein Joshua Bloomfield | | |
| 10 | | | GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP | | |
| 11 | | | 505 14th Street, Suite 1110 Oakland, CA 94612 | | |
| 12 | | | Telephone: (510) 350-9700 Facsimile: (510) 350-9701 | | |
| 13 | | | ehg@classlawgroup.com ds@classlawgroup.com | | |
| 14 | | | jjb@classlawgroup.com | | |
| 15 | | | Andrew N. Friedman | | |
| 16 17 | | | Douglas J. McNamara COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PLLC | | |
| 17 | | | 1100 New York Ave. NW, Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20005 | | |
| 18 | | | Telephone: (202) 408-4600 afriedman@cohenmilstein.com | | |
| 20 | | | dmcnamara@cohenmilstein.com | | |
| 20 | | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| | | | 24 | | |
| | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT | | | | |
| | I | | | | |